Parabolic Anderson model with a fractional Gaussian noise that is rough in time

Xia Chen

University of Tennessee/Jilin University

June, 2018, Tianyuan Math. Conference, Jilin University

Consider the Parabolic Anderson model $\begin{cases} \partial_t u(t,x) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta u(t,x) + \dot{W}(t,x)u(t,x) \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x) \end{cases}$

where W(t, x) $((t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ is an (1 + d)-dimensional fractional Brownian sheet with the Hurst parameter (H_0, \dots, H_d) $(0 < H_0, \dots, H_d < 1)$ define as the mean-zero Gaussian field with the covariance function

$$Cov\left(W(s,x),W(t,y)\right)=R_{H_0}(s,t)\prod_{j=1}^d R_{H_j}(x_j,y_j)$$

for $x=(x_1,\cdots,x_d),\,y=(y_1,\cdots,y_d)$ and $R_H(u,v)=\frac{1}{2}\big\{|u|^{2H}+|v|^{2H}-|u-v|^{2H}\big\} \ \ u.v\in\mathbb{R}$

To determine the covarince for the generalized Gaussian field

$$\dot{W}(t,x) = rac{\partial^{d+1}W}{\partial t\partial x_1\cdots\partial x_d}(t,x_1,\cdots,x_d)$$

we conduct the formal computation

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{Cov}\left(\dot{W}(s,x),\dot{W}(t,y)\right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^{2(d+1)}}{(\partial s \partial t)(\partial x_1 \partial y_1) \cdots (\partial x_d \partial y_d)} \operatorname{Cov}\left(W(s,x),W(t,y)\right) \\ &= \frac{\partial^2 R_{H_0}(s,t)}{\partial s \partial t} \prod_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial^2 R_{H_j}(x_j,y_j)}{\partial x_j \partial y_j} \end{split}$$

When H > 1/2,

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\partial^2 R_H(u,v)}{\partial u \partial v} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u \partial v} \big\{ |u-v|^{2H} \big\} \\ &= H(2H-1) |u-v|^{-(2-2H_0)} \end{split} \tag{1}$$

When H = 1/2

$$\frac{\partial^2 R_{1/2}(u,v)}{\partial u \partial v} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u \partial v} \big\{ |u-v| \big\} = \delta_0(u-v)$$
(2)

The identification (1) can not extended to the setting H < 1/2, as the function $-|\cdot|^{-(2-2H)}$ is disqualified as the covarince function for it is not non-negative definite.

On the other hand, (1) and (2) can be unified as

$$\frac{\partial^2 R_{\rm H}(u,v)}{\partial u \partial v} = C_{\rm H} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda(u-v)} |\lambda|^{1-2H} d\lambda \tag{3}$$

with the suitable constant $C_{\rm H} > 0$. We remark that (3) leads to the requested definite non-negativity.

We extend the identity (3) to the case H < 1/2. Notice that the covariance function given in (3) is not point-wisely defined when H < 1/2, nor is it non-negative in any proper sense.

In summary, the Gaussian noise is a generalized mean-zero Gaussian field with the covariance function

$$\operatorname{Cov}\left(\dot{\mathrm{W}}(\mathrm{s},\mathrm{x}),\dot{\mathrm{W}}(\mathrm{t},\mathrm{y})
ight)=\gamma_{0}(\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{t})\gamma(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})$$

with the time and space covariance functions given as

$$\gamma_0(s-t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda(s-t)} \mu_0(d\lambda) \text{ and } \gamma(x-y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi \cdot (x-y)} \mu(d\xi)$$

and the time and space spectral measures give as

$$\mu_0(d\lambda) = C_0|\lambda|^{1-2H_0}d\lambda$$
 and $\mu(d\xi) = C_1\Big(\prod_{j=1}^d |\xi_j|^{1-2H_j}\Big)d\xi$

To see why $\gamma_0(\cdot)$ is sign-switching as $H_0 < 1/2$, we make the following formal computation:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\mathbb{R}} \gamma_0(\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{C}_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda|^{1-2H_0} \bigg[\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda \mathbf{u}} d\mathbf{u} \bigg] d\lambda \\ &= \mathbf{C}_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda|^{1-2H_0} \delta_0(\lambda) d\lambda = |\mathbf{0}|^{1-2H_0} = \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

The parabolic Anderson equation is interpreted as

$$\mathbf{u}(t, \mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{p}_t \ast \mathbf{u}_0)(\mathbf{x}) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{p}_{t-s}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{u}(s, \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{d}s\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x})$$

where $p_t(x)$ is the density of the Brownian semi-group and the stochastic integral is in the sense of Skorokhod.

The setting of non-rough noise (i.e., $H_0, \cdots, H_d \ge 1/2$) has been well-understood. In this case, the system has a unique solution under the Dalang's condition

$$d-\sum_{j=1}^d H_j < 1$$

The current interest is in the case when some of H_0, \cdots, H_d are less than 1/2 (i.e., the noise $\dot{W}^H(t,x)$ is rough). In the (1 + 1)-dimension with $H_0 > 1/2$ and $1/4 < H_1 < 1/2$, the equation is solved by Hu-Huang-Nualart-Tindel (preprint), Chen-Hu-Nualart-Tindel (EJP, accepted) and Huang-Lê-Nualart (preprint).

Our theorem below shows in particular that the condition " $H_1 > 1/4$ " is too restrictive as $H_0 > 1/2$ and the correct assumption should be $H_0 + H_1 > 3/4$.

Result in $H_0 \ge 1/2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Set } J_* = \{1 \leq j \leq d; \ H_j < 1/2\}, \\ J^* = \{1 \leq j \leq d; \ H_j \geq 1/2\}, \ d_* = \#\{J_*\}, \ d^* = \#\{J^*\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$H_* = \sum_{j \in J_*} H_j, \quad H^* = \sum_{j \in J^*} H_j, \quad H = H_* + H^* = \sum_{j=1}^d H_j$$

Theorem (ALHP(to appear))

Let $H_0 \ge 1/2$. Under the assumption

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{H}<1 \quad \textit{(Dalang's condition)}\\ \mathrm{4}(\mathrm{1}-\mathrm{H}_{0})+\mathrm{2}(\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{H})+(\mathrm{d}_{*}-\mathrm{2}\mathrm{H}_{*})<4 \end{array} \right.$$

the parabolic Anderson equation admits a unique solution u(t, x).

The major topic is this talk the setting when the Gaussian noise is rough in time, i.e., $H_0 < 1/2$.

Theorem

Assume $H_0 < 1/2$. Under the assumption

$$4(1-2H_0)+2(d-H)+(d_*-2H_*)<2$$

the parabolic Anderson equation has a unique solution.

Summary in (1 + 1)-dimension

To see what we get in the above theorems, we consider the special case when case d = 1. So \dot{W} is a (1 + 1)-fractional noise with Hurst parameter (H_0, H) . According to our theorems, the system is solvable under any one of the following assumptions:

(1).
$$H_0, H \ge 1/2;$$

(2). $H_0 \ge 1/2, H < 1/2 \text{ and } H_0 + H > 3/4;$
(3). $H_0 < 1/2, H \ge 1/2 \text{ and } 4H_0 + H > 2;$
(4). $H_0 < 1/2, H < 1/2 \text{ and } 2H_0 + H > 5/4.$

Ito-Wiener chaos expansion

For simplicity we assume $u_0(x) = 1$ in the following discussion. By iterating the mild equation

$$u(t,x) = 1 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p_{t-s}(y-x)u(s,y)W^H(dsdx)$$

we obtain the orthogonal decomposition

$$u(t,x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{n} I_n(f_n(\cdot,t,x))$$

known as Ito-Wiener chaos expansion. By orthogonality, the solvability of the mild equation is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{E} u^{2}(t,x) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{n} n! \|I_{n}(f_{n}(\cdot,t,x))\|^{2} < \infty$$

Relevance to Brownian Hamiltonian

It can be verified that for each $n \ge 1$,

$$\|I_n(f_n(\cdot,t,x))\|^2 = \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \mathbb{E}_0 \left[\int_0^t \int_0^t \gamma_0(s-r)\gamma(B(s)-\widetilde{B}(r)) ds dr\right]^n$$

where *B* and \tilde{B} are two independent *d*-dimensional Brownian motions starting at 0.

Whenever possible, the Brownian Hamiltonian

$$\int_0^t \int_0^t \gamma_0(\boldsymbol{s}-\boldsymbol{r})\gammaig(\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{s})-\widetilde{\boldsymbol{B}}(\boldsymbol{r})ig) d\boldsymbol{s} d\boldsymbol{r}$$

is defined by approximation. The finiteness of its moment allows us to carry the approximation out. By Taylor expansion, therefore, the solvability is equivalent to the exponential integrability

$$\mathbb{E} u^{2}(t,x) = \mathbb{E}_{0} \exp\left\{\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{0}(s-r)\gamma(B(s) - \widetilde{B}(r)) ds dr\right\} < \infty$$

Major challenge

The main step is to get the bound

$$\mathbb{E}_{0} \left[\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{0}(s-r) \gamma (B(s) - \widetilde{B}(r)) ds dr \right]^{n} \\ \leq (n!)^{\theta} C^{n} t^{n(2H_{0}+H-d)}$$

 $n = 1, 2, \cdots$, with $\theta < 1$.

When
$$H_0 = 1/2 \ (\gamma_0(\cdot) = \delta_0(\cdot)),$$

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{0}(s-r) \gamma (B(s) - \widetilde{B}(r)) ds dr = \int_{0}^{t} \gamma (B(s) - \widetilde{B}(s)) ds$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mu(d\xi) \int_{0}^{t} e^{i\xi \cdot (B(s) - \widetilde{B}(r))} ds \stackrel{d}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mu(d\xi) \int_{0}^{t} e^{i\sqrt{2}\xi \cdot B(s)} ds$$

Major challenge

Hence,

$$\mathbb{E}_{0} \left[\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{0}(s-r) \gamma \left(B(s) - \widetilde{B}(r) \right) ds dr \right]^{n}$$

= $\int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d})^{n}} \mu(d\xi) \int_{[0,t]^{n}} \left(\mathbb{E}_{0} \prod_{k=1}^{n} e^{i\sqrt{2}\xi_{k} \cdot B(s_{k})} \right) ds$
= $n! \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d})^{n}} \mu(d\xi) \int_{[0,t]^{n}_{<}} \left(\mathbb{E}_{0} \prod_{k=1}^{n} e^{i\sqrt{2}\xi_{k} \cdot B(s_{k})} \right) ds$

where

$$[0, t]_{<}^{n} = \{(s_{1}, \cdots, s_{n}) \in [0, t]^{n}; s_{1} < \cdots < s_{n}\}$$

and we adopt the simplified notations

$$\mu(d\xi) = \mu(d\xi_1) \cdots \mu(d\xi_n)$$
 and $ds = ds_1 \cdots ds_n$

in the context whenever it becomes obvious.

With the price *n*! for ordering $s_1 < \cdots < s_n$, we have the clear evaluation

$$\mathbb{E}_{0} \prod_{k=1}^{n} e^{i\sqrt{2}\xi_{k} \cdot B(s_{k})} = \mathbb{E}_{0} \exp\left\{i\sqrt{2}\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=k}^{n}\xi_{j}\right)(B(s_{k}) - B(s_{k-1}))\right\}$$
$$= \exp\left\{-\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left|\sum_{j=k}^{n}\xi_{j}\right|^{2}(s_{k} - s_{k-1})\right\}$$

which leads to a sharp bound for the *n*-moment.

Major challenge

When $H_0 \neq 1/2$, we have a formal moment representation

$$\mathbb{E}_{0} \left[\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{0}(s-r) \gamma \left(B(s) - \widetilde{B}(r) \right) ds dr \right]^{n}$$

$$= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d})^{n}} \mu(d\xi) \int_{[0,t]^{2n}} \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \gamma_{0}(s_{k} - r_{k}) \right)$$

$$\times \left(\mathbb{E}_{0} \prod_{k=1}^{n} e^{i\xi_{k} \cdot B(s_{k})} \right) \left(\mathbb{E}_{0} \prod_{k=1}^{n} e^{-i\xi_{k} \cdot B(r_{k})} \right) ds dr$$

Should the price $(n!)^2$ be paid for the expectations on the right hand side to be evaluated or bounded?

The proposal of $(n!)^2$ -payment is unjustified: To a degree, the mass concentrates on the diagonal $\{s = r\}$. Consequently, re-arranging $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ should lead to, partially at least, to the same order of (r_1, \dots, r_n) . Hence, the $(n!)^2$ -payment would un-necessarily increase the power on n!. In $H_0 < 1/2$, it rule out any possibility for the requested exponetial integrability.

Major challenge

Alternative treament is to use the bound

$$0 < \mathbb{E}_0 \prod_{k=1}^n e^{i\xi_k \cdot B(r_k)} \leq 1$$

As $H_0 > 1/2, \gamma_0(\cdot) \ge 0$, so we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{0}\left[\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{t}\gamma_{0}(s-r)\gamma(B(s)-\widetilde{B}(r))dsdr\right]^{n}$$

$$\leq\int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d})^{n}}\mu(d\xi)\int_{[0,t]^{n}}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n}\int_{0}^{t}\gamma_{0}(s_{k}-r)dr\right)\left(\mathbb{E}_{0}\prod_{k=1}^{n}e^{i\xi_{k}\cdot B(s_{k})}\right)ds$$

which lower the cost on *n*!, but weaken the integrability (to a catastrophic level sometimes).

This practice is not allowed at all when H < 1/2 as $\gamma_0(\cdot)$ is sign-switching.

In [Chen, AIHP (to appear)], the the challenge is responded in $H_0 > 1/2$ with a better option

$$\mathbb{E}_{0} \left[\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \gamma_{0}(\boldsymbol{s}-\boldsymbol{r}) \gamma \left(\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{s}) - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{B}}(\boldsymbol{r})\right) d\boldsymbol{s} d\boldsymbol{r} \right]^{n} \\ \leq C^{n} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d})^{n}} \mu(d\xi) \left[\int_{[0,1]^{n}} \left(\mathbb{E}_{0} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{e}^{i\xi_{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{s}_{k})} \right) d\boldsymbol{s} \right]^{\beta}$$

with $\beta \approx 2H_0$. Then the time permutation is performed on the right hand with the total cost of roughly $(n!)^{2H_0}$.

One can prove that this bound does not hold in $H_0 < 1/2$. In [Chen, AIHP (to appear)], we get the bound

$$\mathbb{E}_{0}\left[\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{t}\gamma_{0}(s-r)\gamma(B(s)-\widetilde{B}(r))dsdr\right]^{n}\leq (n!)^{\theta}C^{n}t^{n(2H_{0}+H-d)}$$

with $(n!)^2$ -payment strategy. Sadly, $\theta > 1$ in the above bound.

To improve the bound, we first establish the following decomposition for $H_0 < 1/2$ under the assumptions in our theorem

Lemma

$$\begin{split} &\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{0}(\boldsymbol{s}-\boldsymbol{r}) \gamma \big(\boldsymbol{B}(\boldsymbol{s}) - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{B}}(\boldsymbol{r})\big) d\boldsymbol{s} d\boldsymbol{r} \\ &= H_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mu(d\xi) \int_{0}^{t} \big\{ \boldsymbol{s}^{-(1-2H_{0})} + (t-\boldsymbol{s})^{-(1-2H_{0})} \big\} \boldsymbol{e}^{i\xi \cdot (B_{s} - \widetilde{B}_{s})} d\boldsymbol{s} \\ &+ \frac{H_{0}(1-2H_{0})}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mu(d\xi) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \\ &\times \frac{\big[\boldsymbol{e}^{i\xi \cdot B_{s}} - \boldsymbol{e}^{i\xi \cdot B_{r}} \big] \big[\boldsymbol{e}^{-i\xi \cdot \widetilde{B}_{s}} - \boldsymbol{e}^{-i\xi \cdot \widetilde{B}_{r}} \big]}{|\boldsymbol{s}-\boldsymbol{r}|^{2-2H_{0}}} d\boldsymbol{r} d\boldsymbol{s} \end{split}$$

Remarks on this lemma

1. This lemma is partially inspired by a deterministic covariance decomposition in Chen, L., Hu, Y. Z., Kalbasi, K. and Nualart, D (PTRF, to appear)

2. The first term is in 1-multiple integral whose *n*-moment can be well bounded by the *n*!-payment plan.

3. As for the second term, by symmetry it is equal to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(d\xi) \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{\left[e^{i\xi \cdot B_s} - e^{i\xi \cdot B_r}\right] \left[e^{-i\xi \cdot \widetilde{B}_s} - e^{-i\xi \cdot \widetilde{B}_r}\right]}{|s - r|^{2 - 2H_0}} drds$$
$$= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(d\xi) \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{e^{i\xi \cdot B_s} \left[e^{-i\xi \cdot \widetilde{B}_s} - e^{-i\xi \cdot \widetilde{B}_r}\right]}{|s - r|^{2 - 2H_0}} drds$$

Proof. By a simple algebra

$$\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \gamma_{0}(s-r)\gamma(B(s)-\widetilde{B}(r)) ds dr$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \mu_{0}(d\lambda)\mu(d\xi) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{i\lambda(s-r)} e^{i\xi\cdot B_{s}} e^{-i\xi\cdot\widetilde{B}_{r}} dr ds$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \mu_{0}(d\lambda)\mu(d\xi) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{i\lambda(s-r)} e^{i\xi\cdot B_{s}} e^{-i\xi\cdot\widetilde{B}_{s}} dr ds$$

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d+1}} \mu_{0}(d\lambda)\mu(d\xi) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} e^{i\lambda(s-r)} e^{i\xi\cdot B_{s}} \left[e^{-i\xi\cdot\widetilde{B}_{s}} - e^{-i\xi\cdot\widetilde{B}_{r}} \right] dr ds$$

The first term on the right hand side is identified with the first term in the decomposition.

As for the second term, for any N > 0

$$\begin{split} &\int_{[-N,N]\times\mathbb{R}^{d}}\mu_{0}(d\lambda)\mu(d\xi)\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{t}e^{i\lambda(s-r)}e^{i\xi\cdot B_{s}}\left[e^{-i\xi\cdot\widetilde{B}_{s}}-e^{-i\xi\cdot\widetilde{B}_{r}}\right]drds\\ &=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\mu(d\xi)\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{-N}^{N}e^{i\lambda(s-r)}|\lambda|^{1-2H_{0}}d\lambda\right)e^{i\xi\cdot B_{s}}\left[e^{-i\xi\cdot\widetilde{B}_{s}}-e^{-i\xi\cdot\widetilde{B}_{r}}\right]drds\\ &=N^{1-2H_{0}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\mu(d\xi)\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{t}\frac{\sin N(s-r)}{s-r}e^{i\xi\cdot B_{s}}\left[e^{-i\xi\cdot\widetilde{B}_{s}}-e^{-i\xi\cdot\widetilde{B}_{r}}\right]drds\\ &-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\mu(d\xi)\int_{0}^{t}\int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{-N}^{N}\frac{\sin \left(\lambda(s-r)\right)}{(s-r)|\lambda|^{2H_{0}}}d\lambda\right)e^{i\xi\cdot B_{s}}\left[e^{-i\xi\cdot\widetilde{B}_{s}}-e^{-i\xi\cdot\widetilde{B}_{r}}\right]drds \end{split}$$

Our claim follows from the fact that the first term goes to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

To prove our main theorem, all we need is to establish a good *n*-moment bound for the second term in the decomposition, which is the constant multiple of

$$Q_t = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(d\xi) \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{e^{i\xi \cdot B_s} \left[e^{-i\xi \cdot \widetilde{B}_s} - e^{-\xi \cdot \widetilde{B}_r} \right]}{|s - r|^{2 - 2H_0}} dr ds$$

By assumption there is a $\beta > 0$ such that

$$1 - 2H_0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2(d - H) + (d_* - 2H_*)}{4}$$

All we need is the bound

$$\mathbb{E}_0 Q_t^n \leq (n!)^{(d-H)+2\beta} C^n t^{n(2H_0+H-d)}$$

as $(d - H) + 2\beta < 1$.

We have
$$Q_t \stackrel{d}{=} t^{2H_0+H-d}Q_1$$
. We may let $t = 1$, i.e.,
 $\mathbb{E}_0 Q_1^n \leq (n!)^{(d-H)+(1-2H_0)}C^n$

We now start the moment computation. Notice that

$$Q_1 = 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mu(d\xi) \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{e^{i\xi \cdot (x+B_s)} e^{-i\xi \cdot (\tilde{x}+\tilde{B}_s)} \sin^2 \frac{\xi \cdot (\tilde{B}_s - \tilde{B}_r)}{2}}{|s-r|^{2-2H_0}} drds$$

$$\begin{split} &\mathbb{E}_{0} Q_{1}^{n} = 2^{n} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d})^{n}} \mu(d\xi) \int_{[0,1]^{n}} \left(\mathbb{E}_{0} \prod_{k=1}^{n} e^{i\xi_{k} \cdot B_{s_{k}}} \right) \\ &\times \left\{ \int_{[0,1]^{n}} \left(\mathbb{E}_{0} \prod_{k=1}^{n} e^{-i\xi \cdot B_{s_{k}}} |s_{k} - r_{k}|^{-(2-2H_{0})} \sin^{2} \frac{\xi_{k} \cdot (B_{s_{k}} - B_{r_{k}})}{2} \right) dr \right\} ds \\ &\leq 2^{n} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d})^{n}} \mu(d\xi) \int_{[0,1]^{n}} \left(\mathbb{E}_{0} \prod_{k=1}^{n} e^{i\xi_{k} \cdot B_{s_{k}}} \right) \\ &\times \left\{ \int_{[0,1]^{n}} \left(\mathbb{E}_{0} \prod_{k=1}^{n} |s_{k} - r_{k}|^{-(2-2H_{0})} \sin^{2} \frac{\xi_{k} \cdot (B_{s_{k}} - B_{r_{k}})}{2} \right) dr \right\} ds \end{split}$$

Picking
$$1 - 2H_0 < \beta_1 < \beta$$

$$\begin{split} &\int_{[0,1]^n} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n |s_k - r_k|^{-(2-2H_0)}\right) \left(\mathbb{E}_0 \prod_{k=1}^n \sin^2 \frac{\xi_k \cdot (B_{s_k} - B_{r_k})}{2}\right) dr \\ &\leq \int_{[0,1]^n} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n |s_k - r_k|^{-(2-2H_0)}\right) \left(\mathbb{E}_0 \prod_{k=1}^n \left|\sin \frac{\xi_k \cdot (B_{s_k} - B_{r_k})}{2}\right|^{2\beta}\right) dr \\ &\leq \left(\prod_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|^{2\beta}\right) \mathbb{E}_0 \left(\sup_{0 \le r, s \le 1} \frac{|B_s - B_r|}{|s - r|^{\beta_1/(2\beta)}}\right)^{2\beta n} \\ &\times \int_{[0,1]^n} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n |s_k - r_k|^{-(2-2H_0 - \beta_1)}\right) dr \end{split}$$

By the fact that $2 - 2H_0 - \beta_1 < 1$,

$$\int_{[0,1]^n} \bigg(\prod_{k=1}^n |s_k - r_k|^{-(2-2H_0 - \beta_1)} \bigg) dr \le C^n$$

So we have the bound

$$\mathbb{E}_0 Q_1^n \leq C^n \mathbb{E}_0 igg(\sup_{0 \leq r, s \leq 1} rac{|B_s - B_r|}{|s - r|^{eta_1/(2eta)}} igg)^{2eta n} \ imes \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \mu(d\xi) igg(\prod_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|^{2eta} igg) \int_{[0,1]^n} igg(\mathbb{E}_0 \prod_{k=1}^n e^{i\xi_k \cdot B_{s_k}} igg) ds$$

Notice $0 < \beta_1/(2\beta) < 1/2$. By the Hölder continuity of the Brownian motion and by Gaussian tail bound

$$\mathbb{E}_0\left(\sup_{0\leq r,s\leq 1}\frac{|B_s-B_r|}{|s-r|^{\beta_1/(2\beta)}}\right)^{2\beta n}\leq (n!)^{\beta}C^n$$

Therefore,

$$E_0 Q_1^n \leq (n!)^{\beta} C^n \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \mu(d\xi) \left(\prod_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|^{2\beta}\right) \int_{[0,1]^n} \left(\mathbb{E}_0 \prod_{k=1}^n e^{i\xi_k \cdot B_{s_k}}\right) ds$$

It remains to prove

$$\begin{split} &\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \mu(d\xi) \bigg(\prod_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|^{2\beta}\bigg) \int_{[0,1]^n} \bigg(\mathbb{E}_0 \prod_{k=1}^n e^{i\xi_k \cdot B_{s_k}}\bigg) ds \\ &\leq (n!)^{(d-H)+\beta} C^n \end{split}$$

Write

$$I_n(t) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \mu(d\xi) \bigg(\prod_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|^{2\beta}\bigg) \int_{[0,t]^n} \bigg(\mathbb{E}_0 \prod_{k=1}^n e^{i\xi_k \cdot B_{s_k}}\bigg) ds$$

Then $I_n(t) = t^{n(1-(d-H)-\beta)}I_n(1)$ and

$$\begin{split} &I_n(t) = n! \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \mu(d\xi) \bigg(\prod_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|^{2\beta} \bigg) \int_{[0,t]_<} \left(\mathbb{E}_0 \prod_{k=1}^n e^{i\xi_k \cdot B_{s_k}} \right) ds \\ &= n! \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \mu(d\xi) \bigg(\prod_{k=1}^n |\xi_k|^{2\beta} \bigg) \\ &\times \int_{[0,t]_<} \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^n \Big| \sum_{j=k}^n \xi_j \Big|^2 (s_k - s_{k-1}) \right\} ds \end{split}$$

Hence,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} I_{n}(t) dt = n! \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d})^{n}} \mu(d\xi) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} |\xi_{k}|^{2\beta}\right)$$

$$\times \prod_{k=1}^{n} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \left|\sum_{j=k}^{n} \xi_{j}\right|^{2} t\right\} dt$$

$$= n! \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{d})^{n}} \mu(d\xi) \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} |\xi_{k}|^{2\beta}\right) \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left\{1 + \frac{1}{2} \left|\sum_{j=k}^{n} \xi_{j}\right|^{2}\right\}^{-1}$$

Notice $0 < 2\beta < 1$ and write $\eta_n = \sum_{j=k}^n \xi_j$ $(1 \le j \le n)$. Under the convention $\eta_{n+1} = 0$

$$\begin{split} &\prod_{k=1}^{n} |\xi_{k}|^{2\beta} = \prod_{k=1}^{n} |\eta_{k} - \eta_{k+1}|^{2\beta} \leq \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ |\eta_{k}|^{2\beta} + |\eta_{k+1}|^{2\beta} \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{l} \prod_{k=1}^{n} |\eta_{k}|^{2l(k)\beta} \leq 2^{n} \sum_{l} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} |\eta_{k}|^{2} \right\}^{l(k)\beta} \\ &\leq 2^{n} \sum_{l} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} |\eta_{k}|^{2} \right\}^{2\beta} \leq 2^{n} 3^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{j=k}^{n} \xi_{j} \right|^{2} \right\}^{2\beta} \end{split}$$

where the summation is over all maps *I*: $\{1, \dots, n\} \longrightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}$ so the number of its terms is at most 3^n .

Summarizing our computation,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t} I_n(t) dt \le n! C^n \int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \mu(d\xi) \prod_{k=1}^n \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \xi_j \right|^2 \right\}^{-(1-2\beta)} \le n! C^n$$

where the last step follows from the fact that

$$1-2\beta > rac{2(d-H)+(d_*-2H_*)}{2}$$

and the lamma stated later.

On the other hand,

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} I_{n}(t) dt = I_{n}(1) \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{n(1-(d-H)-\beta)} dt$$
$$= I_{n}(1) \Gamma (1 + n(1 - (d - H) - \beta))$$

By Stirling formula,

$$I_n(1) \leq (n!)^{(d-H)+\beta} C^n$$

One of important properties in SPDE is the intermittency. It described by the asymptotic behavior

$$\log \mathbb{E} u^m(t,x) \quad (t \to \infty) \quad m = 2, 3, \cdots$$

For $H_0 > 1/2$, the answer (Chen, AIHP (to appear)) to this question is

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} t^{-\frac{2H_0+H-d}{1-(d-H)}} \log \mathbb{E} u^m(t,x) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-(d-H)}} m(m-1)^{\frac{1}{1-(d-H)}} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{H})$$

where $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{H}) > 0$ is a constant given in terms of variation.

Further development

Notice that $\frac{2H_0+H-d}{1-(d-H)}<1$ as $H_0<1/2.$ Does the logarithmic moment

 $\log \mathbb{E} u^m(t,x)$

has a sub-linear growth rate when $H_0 < 1/2$ as $t \to \infty$? The truth is that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{1}{t}\log\mathbb{E}\,u^2(t,x)=\kappa(\mathbf{H})$$

for some constant $0 < \kappa(\mathbf{H}) < \infty$.

More problems need to be answered in the future on the intermittency in the setting of $H_0 < 1/2$.

Lemma

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \mu(d\xi) \prod_{k=1}^n \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \xi_j \right|^2 \right\}^{-\kappa} \le C^n$$
for any
$$\kappa > \frac{2(d-H) + (d_* - 2H_*)}{2}$$

To this end, we first prove

Lemma

Let $f(\xi)$ and $g(\xi)$ be two non-negative definite functions on \mathbb{R}^d . Then for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\eta) oldsymbol{g}(\eta-\xi) oldsymbol{d}\eta \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\eta) oldsymbol{g}(\eta) oldsymbol{d}\eta$$

Proof. Let $\mu_f(dx)$ and $\mu_g(dx)$ be the spectral measures of f and g, respectively. Assume $\mu_f(dx) = \hat{f}(x)dx$ and $\mu_g(dx) = \hat{g}(x)dx$ for some $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \ge 0$.

$$egin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\eta) g(\eta-\xi) d\eta = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{i\xi\cdot x} \hat{f}(x) \hat{g}(x) dx \ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{f}(x) \hat{g}(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\eta) g(\eta) d\eta \end{aligned}$$

We now prove the bound

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \mu(d\xi) \prod_{k=1}^n \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left| \sum_{j=k}^n \xi_j \right|^2 \right\}^{-\kappa} \leq C^n$$

We may assume that $\kappa \leq 2$ in the following proof.

Recall that $J^* = \{1 \le j \le d; H_j \ge 1/2\}$ and $J_* = \{1 \le j \le d; H_j < 1/2\}.$

In the notation $\xi_k = (\xi_{k,1}, \cdots, \xi_{k,d}), \ \xi_k^+ = (\xi_{k,j})_{j \in J^*}$ and $\xi_k^- = (\xi_{k,j})_{j \in J_*}$

$$\mu(d\xi) = C^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{d} |\xi_{k,j}|^{1-2H_{j}} \right) d\xi_{k}$$
$$= C^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j \in J^{*}} |\xi_{k,j}|^{1-2H_{j}} \right) \left(\prod_{j \in J^{*}} |\xi_{k,j}|^{1-2H_{j}} \right) d\xi_{k}$$
$$= C^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{n} q^{*}(\xi_{k}^{+}) q_{*}(\xi_{k}^{-}) d\xi_{k}^{+} d\xi_{k}^{-} \quad (say)$$

By translation,



Notice that the function $q^*(\eta)$ ($\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{J^*}$) is non-negative definite with spectral density $\hat{q}^*(x)$ which appears to be the constant multiple of

$$\prod_{j\in J^*} |x_j|^{-(2-2H_j)} \quad x=(x_j)_{j\in J^*}\in \mathbb{R}^{J^*}$$

Also notice that for any a > 0, $f(\eta) = (a + |\eta|^{\kappa})^{-1}$ ($\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{J^*}$) is non-negative definite, which appears to the characteristic function of a κ -stable and radius-symmetric process at a independent exponential time. Consequently, the function $(a + |\eta|^{\kappa})^{-2}$ is non-negative definite.

By the previous lemma, for any $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{J^*}$

$$egin{array}{l} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{J^*}}(a+|\eta|^\kappa)^{-2}q^*(\eta-\zeta)d\eta\leq\int_{\mathbb{R}^{J^*}}(a+|\eta|^\kappa)^{-2}q^*(\eta)d\eta\ =a^{-2+2\kappa^{-1}(d^*-H^*)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{J^*}}(1+|\eta|^\kappa)^{-2}q^*(\eta)d\eta \end{array}$$

This implies that for any $a_1, \cdots, a_n > 0$,

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^{J^*})^n} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n (a_k + |\xi_k^+|^\kappa)^{-2} \right) \prod_{k=1}^n q^* (\xi_k^+ - \xi_{k-1}^+) d\xi_k^+$$

$$\leq C^n \prod_{k=1}^n a_k^{-2+2\kappa^{-1}(d^*-H^*)}$$

Take

$$a_k = (1+|\xi_k^-|^2)^{\kappa/2}$$

By Fubini's theorem,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{(\mathbb{R}^d)^n} \prod_{k=1}^n \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \left|\sum_{k=j}^n \xi_k\right|^2\right)^{-\kappa} \mu(d\xi) \\ &\leq C^n \int_{(\mathbb{R}^{J_*})^n} \left(\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + |\xi_k|^2)^{-\kappa + (d^* - H^*)}\right) \prod_{k=1}^n q_*(\xi_k - \xi_{k-1}) d\xi_k \end{split}$$

Here we use ξ_k instead of ξ_k^- on the right hand side for notation simplification.

Notice that

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n} q_{*}(\xi_{k} - \xi_{k-1}) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{j \in J_{*}} |\xi_{k,j} - \xi_{k-1,j}|^{1-2H_{j}}$$
$$\leq \prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{j \in J_{*}} (|\xi_{k,j}|^{1-2H_{j}} + |\xi_{k-1,j}|^{1-2H_{j}})$$
$$\leq \sum_{l} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \prod_{j \in J_{*}} |\xi_{k,j}|^{l(k,j)(1-2H_{j})}$$

where the summation is taken for all maps *I*: $\{1, \dots, n\} \times J_* \longrightarrow \{0, 1, 2\}^n$ with $\sum_{(k,j) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times J_*} I(k, j) = n$

and therefore the number of the terms is at most 2^{nd_*} .

Therefore, all we need to prove is that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{J_*}} ig(1+|\xi|^2ig)^{-\kappa+(d^*-H^*)} \prod_{j\in J_*} |\xi_j|^{l(1-2H_j)} d\xi < \infty \quad l=0,1,2$$

Notice that $1 - 2H_j > 0$ for each $j \in J_*$. So only the case l = 2 needs to be checked. Indeed, by spherical substitution

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{J_*}} \left(1 + |\xi|^2\right)^{-\kappa + (d^* - H^*)} \prod_{j \in J_*} |\xi_j|^{2(1 - 2H_j)} d\xi$$
$$= C \int_0^\infty \left(1 + \rho^2\right)^{-\kappa + (d^* - H^*)} \rho^{2(d_* - 2H_*)} \rho^{d_* - 1} d\rho < \infty$$

References

- Chen, L., Hu, Y. Z., Kalbasi, K. and Nualart, D. Intermittency for the stochastic heat equation driven by a rough time fractional Gaussian noise. PTRF (to appear).
- Chen, X. Parabolic Anderson model with rough or critical Gaussian noise *Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare* **51** (to appear)
- Chen, X. Moment asymptotics for parabolic Anderson equation with fractional time-space noise: in Skorokhod regime PDF. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare 53 (2017) 819-841.

References

- Chen, X., Hu, Y. Z., Nualart, D. and Tindel, S. Spatial asymptotics for the parabolic Anderson model driven by a Gaussian rough noise PDF *EJP* (to appear)
- Chen, X. and Phan, T. V. Free energy in a mean field of Brownian particles. (preprint).
- Dalang, R. C. Extending martingale measure stochastic integral with applications to spatially homogeneous S.P.D.E's. *Electron. J. Probab.* 4 (1999) 1-29.
- Y. Z. Hu, J. Huang, D. Nualart and S. Tindel. Stochastic heat equations with general multiplicative Gaussian noise: Hölder continuity and intermittency. *Electron. J. Probab.* **20** (2015) No. 55, 50 pp.

References

- Hu, Y. Huang, J. Le, K. Nualart, D. and Tindel, S. Stochastic heat equation with rough dependence in space. Ann. of Probab. 45, (2017) 4561-4616.
- Hu, Y. Z., Huang, J., Nualart, D. and Tindel, S. Prabolic Anderson model with rough dependence on space. Proceedings of the Abel Conference (to appear)
- Huang, J., Lê, K. and Nualart, D. Large time asymptotics for the parabolic Anderson model driven by space and time correlated noise. Stochastics and Partial Differential Equations (to appear)

Thank you!